



The Pandemic  
**EVIDENCE Collaboration**

# Pillar 3

## Practice and Policy





## Non-Pharmacological Interventions: Working Pillars and Cross-Cutting Themes

| Cross-cutting themes   | Pillar 1<br>Diagnostics and Transmission   | Pillar 2<br>Interventions and Evidence  | Pillar 3<br>Practice and Policy  |
|--|--|---|--|
| <b>Theme 1: Definitions and Nomenclature</b><br>Glossary of terms<br>Taxonomy<br>Classifications   | Diagnostic criteria<br>Testing methods - PCR, lateral flow, rapid tests, serology, WGS<br>Testing strategies   | Types of NPIs: Individual vs community vs population-based settings<br>Identifying and applying NPIs to various settings<br>Developing, testing and applying Novel NPIs in a pandemic   | Assessing the cost-effectiveness of interventions in pandemics<br>Assessing waste during pandemics and impact on policy  |
| <b>Theme 2: Data Challenges</b><br>Inputs to transmission models<br>Outcomes – mortality, morbidity, infection, hospitalisations, other<br>Data veracity<br>Data sharing | Modes of Transmission <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Animal-animal studies</li><li>• Human-animal studies</li><li>• Human challenge studies</li></ul> Study Quality and Standards<br>A framework for evidence assessment, synthesis, and adjudicating study quality | Assessing the benefits and harms of NPIs<br><br>Developing a framework for evidence synthesis<br>Developing evidence during and outside of pandemics<br><br>Study design for high quality: RCTs, CRTs, cohort studies and others<br>Outcomes – mortality, infections, hospitalisations, morbidity | Developing a framework for grading policy<br>Developing effective policy<br><br>Policy for intervening in individuals and populations<br>Adjudicating study quality for policy<br>Reporting criteria<br>Role of Journals |
| <b>Theme 3: Methodological Issues</b>  | Access to data with bias assessments<br>Setting minimum methodological standards<br>Reporting methods  | Role of the environment and infrastructure<br>Waste in the scientific literature<br>Role of laboratory studies<br>Use of models and predictive modelling  | Role of media and dissemination in effecting policy<br>Behavioural tactics in setting policy   |
| <b>Theme 4: Funding</b>  | Short term / long term sustainable / internal and external grants, conference revenue, leveraging  |   |  |



The Pandemic  
**EVIDENCE** Collaboration

# Problems/Issues Discussed

- Themes vs. Pillars?
- Barriers and challenges to comparing NPIs
- Infrastructure, frameworks for how to develop protocols for NPIs
  - Need for "Minimum dataset" across all pillars and interventions to standardize across all jurisdictions, common language: outcomes, interventions, measures, data collection
- Transparency, reproducibility and the ability to replicate research for NPIs across countries/settings/contexts
- Ethical issues of research: e.g. consent to recontact study participants
- Integrating knowledge users, community and patient partners
- Communicating evidence-based medicine to the public, media, and policymakers: platforms for dissemination
- Value and costings of NPIs, and the impact on target populations (e.g. school closures on children)
- Feasibility, resources, and funding to deliver projects: building capacity for early and mid-career researchers – training and support to overcome challenges and progress ideas
  - The 'ideal' training programme – what skills to EBMers/Scientists need in 2024?



The Pandemic  
**EVIDENCE** Collaboration

# Evidence Reviews: Feasibility

1. Qualitative systematic review of the impact of restricted family presence policies during the COVID-19 pandemic on critically ill patients, families, and critical care clinicians
2. Living review of coroners' Prevention of Future Deaths reports published in England and Wales
3. Systematic review of masking mandates in healthcare facilities
4. Scoping review of the impacts of additional precautions on patients, healthcare workers, and hospital





# Evidence Reviews: Aspirational Projects

1. Synthesis of methodologies for development, implementation, and evaluation of NPIs - to propose standard conceptual frameworks and methods
  - Could start with proof of concept - review of reviews for a case study NPI (e.g., masking)
  - Pragmatic kit for governments to evaluate NPIs
  - Meta-epidemiological review of modelled evidence (e.g. consistency of model, inputs, application of GRADE for modelled evidence)
2. Systematic review of qualitative studies and surveys to identify barriers and facilitators to conducting research studying NPIs (lessons learned)
3. Synthesis of pandemic debriefs - government, research funding agencies, hospitals / health systems
4. Evidence synthesis of inquests to explore preventable harms from NPIs and causation of deaths
5. Ethical approaches to NPI evaluation during public health emergencies
  - e.g. Consent to contact in the future



The Pandemic  
EVIDENCE Collaboration

# Primary Studies/Research Protocols

1. Quasi-experimental study enhanced masking directive in hospitals
2. Case series of coroners' reports to assess the impact of NPIs during the COVID-19 pandemic to support learning - identifying harms, modifications, solutions
3. Impact of changes in healthcare delivery practices on other healthcare issues
4. Impact of visitation policies in pediatric hospitals on patients, families, and healthcare workers (including intensive care units) - evidence to inform hospital policies
5. Nature of research during COVID-19: volume, topic overlap, source of funding, proportion that led to action (e.g., Overton) - to inform research agenda/strategy for future public health emergencies)



# The Pandemic **EVIDENCE** Collaboration

Jenine Leal, Oyuka Byambasuren, Georgia Richards, Susanna Maltoni, Tom Stelfox, Kirsten Fiest, Jessica Bartoszko

*April 11, 12 2024*